

NONEXISTENCE OF EXCEPTIONAL IMPRIMITIVE Q -POLYNOMIAL ASSOCIATION SCHEMES WITH SIX CLASSES

HAJIME TANAKA AND RIE TANAKA

ABSTRACT. Suzuki (1998) showed that an imprimitive Q -polynomial association scheme with first multiplicity at least three is either Q -bipartite, Q -antipodal, or with four or six classes. The exceptional case with four classes has recently been ruled out by Cerzo and Suzuki (2009). In this paper, we show the nonexistence of the last case with six classes. Hence Suzuki's theorem now exactly mirrors its well-known counterpart for imprimitive distance-regular graphs.

1. INTRODUCTION

Distance-regular graphs have been extensively studied. They form the class of *metric* (or *P-polynomial*) association schemes, and play a central role in Algebraic Combinatorics. *Cometric* (or *Q-polynomial*) association schemes are the “dual version” of distance-regular graphs. Besides their importance in the theory of association schemes, cometric schemes are of particular interest because of their connections, e.g., to combinatorial/spherical designs, (Euclidean) lattices and also mutually unbiased bases in quantum information theory. For recent activity on cometric schemes and related topics, see [2, 7, 6] and the references therein.

Major theorems concerning distance-regular graphs often have their counterparts for cometric schemes, but the proofs can be very different in terms of both techniques and difficulties; compare, e.g., the proofs of the long-standing *Bannai–Ito Conjecture* and its dual [1, 8].¹ It is a well-known elementary fact [3, p. 315] that an imprimitive distance-regular graph with valency $k > 2$ is bipartite or antipodal. On the cometric side, Suzuki [9] did show in 1998 that an imprimitive cometric scheme with first multiplicity $m > 2$ and with more than six classes is Q -bipartite or Q -antipodal, but there remained two cases of open parameter sets with four and six classes, respectively. The exceptional case with four classes has recently been ruled out by Cerzo and Suzuki [5]. In this paper, we finally show that the other case with six classes does not occur (Theorem 3). Hence Suzuki's theorem now exactly mirrors the result for imprimitive distance-regular graphs.

The contents of the paper are as follows. §§2, 3 review basic terminology, notation and facts concerning cometric schemes and their imprimitivity. §§4–6 are concerned with the exceptional imprimitive cometric scheme with six classes. §4 deals with the description of its eigenmatrix, and §5 with the calculation of a structure constant. The nonexistence of the scheme is established in §6.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 05E30.

Key words and phrases. Association scheme; Q -polynomial; Imprimitivity.

¹In [3, p. 237], Bannai and Ito conjectured that there are only finitely many distance-regular graphs with any given valency $k > 2$.

2. ASSOCIATION SCHEMES

In this section and the next, we recall necessary definitions and results. The reader is referred to [3, 4, 7] for more background material.

Let X be a finite set and $\mathcal{R} = \{R_0, R_1, \dots, R_d\}$ a set of symmetric binary relations on X . For each i , let A_i be the adjacency matrix of the graph (X, R_i) . The pair $(X, \mathcal{R})$ is a *symmetric association scheme with d classes* if

- (AS1) $A_0 = I$, the identity matrix;
- (AS2) $\sum_{i=0}^d A_i = J$, the all ones matrix;
- (AS3) $A_i A_j$ is a linear combination of $A_0, A_1, \dots, A_d$ for $0 \leq i, j \leq d$.

By (AS1) and (AS3), the vector space $\mathbf{A}$ spanned by the A_i is an algebra; this is the *Bose–Mesner algebra* of $(X, \mathcal{R})$. Note that $\mathbf{A}$ is semisimple as it is closed under conjugate transposition, so that it has a basis consisting of the primitive idempotents² $E_0 = \frac{1}{|X|}J, E_1, \dots, E_d$, i.e., $E_i E_j = \delta_{ij} E_i$, $\sum_{i=0}^d E_i = I$. By (AS2), $\mathbf{A}$ is closed under entrywise multiplication, denoted $\circ$. The A_i are the primitive idempotents of $\mathbf{A}$ with respect to $\circ$, i.e., $A_i \circ A_j = \delta_{ij} A_i$, $\sum_{i=0}^d A_i = J$.

We define p_{ij}^h, q_{ij}^h ($0 \leq i, j, h \leq d$) by the equations

$$A_i A_j = \sum_{h=0}^d p_{ij}^h A_h, \quad E_i \circ E_j = \frac{1}{|X|} \sum_{h=0}^d q_{ij}^h E_h.$$

The p_{ij}^h are nonnegative integers. On the other hand, since each $E_i \circ E_j$ (being a principal submatrix of $E_i \otimes E_j$) is positive semidefinite, it follows that the q_{ij}^h are real and nonnegative. The *eigenmatrices* P, Q are defined by

$$A_i = \sum_{j=0}^d P_{ji} E_j, \quad E_i = \frac{1}{|X|} \sum_{j=0}^d Q_{ji} A_j.$$

Note that $P_{0i}, P_{1i}, \dots, P_{di}$ give the eigenvalues of A_i . Let

$$k_i = P_{0i}, \quad m_i = Q_{0i}.$$

It follows that k_i is the valency of (X, R_i) and $m_i = \text{rank}(E_i)$. The m_i are called the *multiplicities* of $(X, \mathcal{R})$.

We say that $(X, \mathcal{R})$ is *primitive* if the graphs (X, R_i) ($1 \leq i \leq d$) are connected, and *imprimitive* otherwise. Let I_r (resp. J_r) denote the $r \times r$ identity (resp. all ones) matrix. Then

Lemma 1. *The following are equivalent:*

- (i) $(X, \mathcal{R})$ is *imprimitive*.
- (ii) *There are $\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J} \subseteq \{0, 1, \dots, d\}$ such that $\frac{1}{r} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} A_i = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{J}} E_i = \frac{1}{r} J_r \otimes I_s$ for some integers $r, s \geq 2$ and an ordering of X .*

Moreover, if (i), (ii) hold then $r = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} k_i$ and $s = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{J}} m_i$.

See, e.g., [3, §2.9]. Suppose now that $(X, \mathcal{R})$ is imprimitive, so that $\bigcup_{i \in \mathcal{I}} R_i$ is an equivalence relation on X . Then there is a natural structure of a symmetric association scheme $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{\mathcal{R}})$ on the set $\tilde{X}$ of all equivalence classes, called a *quotient scheme* of $(X, \mathcal{R})$. Let $E = \frac{1}{r} J_r \otimes I_s$ be as in (ii) above. The Bose–Mesner algebra $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}$ of $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{\mathcal{R}})$ is canonically isomorphic to the ‘‘Hecke algebra’’ $E \mathbf{A} E$ (which is also

²Observe that $\frac{1}{|X|}J$ is an idempotent in $\mathbf{A}$ with rank one, hence must be primitive.

an ideal of $\mathbf{A}$); to be more precise, the primitive idempotents $\{\tilde{E}_i\}_{i \in \mathcal{J}}$ of $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}$ are indexed by $\mathcal{J}$ and satisfy $E_i = \frac{1}{r} J_r \otimes \tilde{E}_i$ ($i \in \mathcal{J}$), and for every i ($0 \leq i \leq d$) we have $EA_i = (\sum_{j \in \mathcal{I}} p_{ij}^i) \cdot \frac{1}{r} J_r \otimes \tilde{A}$ for some adjacency matrix $\tilde{A}$ of $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{\mathcal{R}})$.

3. IMPRIMITIVE COMETRIC SCHEMES

We say that $(X, \mathcal{R})$ is *cometric* (or *Q -polynomial*) with respect to the ordering $\{E_i\}_{i=0}^d$ if for each i ($0 \leq i \leq d$) there is a polynomial v_i^* with degree i such that $Q_{ji} = v_i^*(Q_{j1})$ ($0 \leq j \leq d$). Such an ordering is called a *Q -polynomial ordering*. Note that $(X, \mathcal{R})$ is cometric with respect to the above ordering if and only if for all i, j, h ($0 \leq i, j, h \leq d$) we have $q_{ij}^h = 0$ if $i + j < h$ and $q_{ij}^h \neq 0$ if $i + j = h$. Suppose now that $(X, \mathcal{R})$ is cometric and set

$$m = m_1, \quad a_i^* = q_{1i}^i, \quad b_i^* = q_{1,i+1}^i, \quad c_i^* = q_{1,i-1}^i.$$

It follows that

$$a_i^* + b_i^* + c_i^* = m, \quad b_0^* = m, \quad a_0^* = b_d^* = c_0^* = 0, \quad c_1^* = 1.$$

In fact, the q_{ij}^h are entirely determined by the *Krein array*

$$\iota^*(X, \mathcal{R}) = \{b_0^*, b_1^*, \dots, b_{d-1}^*; c_1^*, c_2^*, \dots, c_d^*\}.$$

The v_i^* are also determined recursively:

$$(1) \quad v_0^*(x) = 1, \quad v_1^*(x) = x, \quad xv_i^*(x) = c_{i+1}^* v_{i+1}^*(x) + a_i^* v_i^*(x) + b_{i-1}^* v_{i-1}^*(x).$$

Moreover, if we formally define v_{d+1}^* by (1) with $i = d$ and $c_{d+1}^* = 1$, then we find

$$(2) \quad c_1^* c_2^* \dots c_d^* v_{d+1}^*(x) = \prod_{i=0}^d (x - Q_{i1}).$$

It is well known [3, p. 315] that an imprimitive distance-regular graph with valency $k > 2$ is bipartite or antipodal. On the cometric side, Suzuki proved

Theorem 2 ([9]). *If $(X, \mathcal{R})$ is an imprimitive cometric scheme with Q -polynomial ordering $\{E_i\}_{i=0}^d$ and $m > 2$, then at least one of the following holds:*

- (i) $(X, \mathcal{R})$ is Q -bipartite: $a_i^* = 0$ for $0 \leq i \leq d$.
- (ii) $(X, \mathcal{R})$ is Q -antipodal: $b_i^* = c_{d-i}^*$ for $0 \leq i \leq d$, except possibly $i = \lfloor \frac{d}{2} \rfloor$.
- (iii) $d = 4$ and $\iota^*(X, \mathcal{R}) = \{m, m-1, 1, b_3^*, 1, c_2^*, m-b_3^*, 1\}$.
- (iv) $d = 6$ and $\iota^*(X, \mathcal{R}) = \{m, m-1, 1, b_3^*, b_4^*, 1, 1, c_2^*, m-b_3^*, 1, c_5^*, m\}$, where $a_2^* = a_4^* + a_5^*$.

It should be remarked that, with the notation of Lemma 1, the cases (i)–(iv) above correspond to $\mathcal{J} = \{0, 2, 4, \dots\}$, $\mathcal{J} = \{0, d\}$, $\mathcal{J} = \{0, 3\}$ and $\mathcal{J} = \{0, 3, 6\}$, respectively. Case (iii) in the theorem has recently been ruled out by Cerzo and Suzuki [5] based on the integrality conditions of the P_{ij} . In this paper, we prove

Theorem 3. *Case (iv) in Theorem 2 does not occur. In particular, an imprimitive cometric scheme with $m > 2$ is Q -bipartite or Q -antipodal.*

4. DISCUSSIONS: Q

For the rest of this paper, we shall always assume that we are in case (iv) of Theorem 2, so that $(X, \mathcal{R})$ is a cometric scheme with six classes and Krein array

$$\iota^*(X, \mathcal{R}) = \{m, m-1, 1, b_3^*, b_4^*, 1; 1, c_2^*, m-b_3^*, 1, c_5^*, m\}, \quad a_2^* = a_4^* + a_5^*.$$

Note that

$$a_2^* = m-1-c_2^*, \quad a_4^* = m-1-b_4^*, \quad a_5^* = m-1-c_5^*, \quad a_1^* = a_3^* = a_6^* = 0.$$

This section is devoted to the description of Q . First, we routinely obtain

$$\begin{aligned} c_2^* v_2^*(x) &= x^2 - m, \\ c_2^* c_3^* v_3^*(x) &= x^3 - a_2^* x^2 - (m + c_2^*(m-1))x + ma_2^*, \\ v_4^*(x) &= xv_3^*(x) - v_2^*(x), \\ c_5^* v_5^*(x) &= (x - a_4^*)v_4^*(x) - b_3^* v_3^*(x), \\ mc_5^* v_6^*(x) &= (x^2 - a_2^* x - c_2^*(m-1))v_4^*(x) - b_3^*(x - a_5^*)v_3^*(x). \end{aligned}$$

We now describe the Q_{i1} ($0 \leq i \leq 6$).

Lemma 4. *Concerning (2), it follows that*

$$\begin{aligned} mc_2^* c_3^* c_5^* v_7^*(x) &= (x^3 - a_2^* x^2 - (m + c_2^*(m-1))x + ma_2^* - a_5^* c_3^*) \\ &\quad \times (x^2 + c_2^* x - m)(x - m)(x + 1). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By definition,

$$v_7^*(x) = xv_6^*(x) - v_5^*(x).$$

Eliminating v_6^*, v_5^* we find

$$\begin{aligned} mc_5^* v_7^*(x) &= (x^3 - a_2^* x^2 - (m + c_2^*(m-1))x + ma_4^*)v_4^*(x) \\ &\quad - b_3^*(x^2 - a_5^* x - m)v_3^*(x) \\ &= (c_2^* c_3^* v_3^*(x) - ma_5^* v_4^*(x) - b_3^* c_2^* v_2^*(x)v_3^*(x) + a_5^* b_3^* xv_3^*(x)); \end{aligned}$$

the replacement $xv_3^*(x) = v_4^*(x) + v_2^*(x)$ in the last term implies:

$$\begin{aligned} &= (c_2^* v_3^*(x) - a_5^*)(c_3^* v_4^*(x) - b_3^* v_2^*(x)) \\ &= (c_2^* v_3^*(x) - a_5^*)(c_3^* xv_3^*(x) - mv_2^*(x)). \end{aligned}$$

Now the result follows from a straightforward calculation. $\square$

Let $x_i = Q_{i1}$ ($0 \leq i \leq 6$).³ We may assume $x_0 = m$, $x_6 = -1$, and x_1, x_2, x_3 ($x_1 > x_2 > x_3$) are the roots of

$$(3) \quad x^3 - a_2^* x^2 - (m + c_2^*(m-1))x + ma_2^* - a_5^* c_3^* = 0,$$

and x_4, x_5 ($x_4 > x_5$) are the roots of

$$x^2 + c_2^* x - m = 0.$$

In particular, x_1, x_2, x_3 satisfy

$$(4) \quad x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = a_2^*,$$

$$(5) \quad x_1 x_2 + x_1 x_3 + x_2 x_3 = -m - c_2^*(m-1).$$

³It is customary to use θ_i^* instead of x_i , but we decided to avoid introducing too many asterisks.

The value of the left-hand side in (3) at $x = m - 1$ equals $-mc_2^* - a_5^*c_3^* < 0$, from which it follows that

$$(6) \quad m - 1 < x_1 < m.$$

The other five columns of Q are determined by the recursion (1):

$$(7) \quad Q = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & m & \frac{m(m-1)}{c_2^*} & m_3 & mm_3 - \frac{m(m-1)}{c_2^*} & mm_6 & m_6 \\ 1 & x_1 & \frac{x_1^2 - m}{c_2^*} & \frac{a_5^*}{c_2^*} & -\frac{x_1^2 - a_5^*x_1 - m}{c_2^*} & -\frac{b_4^*x_1}{c_2^*} & -\frac{b_4^*}{c_2^*} \\ 1 & x_2 & \frac{x_2^2 - m}{c_2^*} & \frac{a_5^*}{c_2^*} & -\frac{x_2^2 - a_5^*x_2 - m}{c_2^*} & -\frac{b_4^*x_2}{c_2^*} & -\frac{b_4^*}{c_2^*} \\ 1 & x_3 & \frac{x_3^2 - m}{c_2^*} & \frac{a_5^*}{c_2^*} & -\frac{x_3^2 - a_5^*x_3 - m}{c_2^*} & -\frac{b_4^*x_3}{c_2^*} & -\frac{b_4^*}{c_2^*} \\ 1 & x_4 & -x_4 & -\frac{m}{c_3^*} & -\frac{b_3^*x_4}{c_3^*} & \frac{b_3^*x_4}{c_3^*} & \frac{b_3^*}{c_3^*} \\ 1 & x_5 & -x_5 & -\frac{m}{c_3^*} & -\frac{b_3^*x_5}{c_3^*} & \frac{b_3^*x_5}{c_3^*} & \frac{b_3^*}{c_3^*} \\ 1 & -1 & -\frac{m-1}{c_2^*} & m_3 & -m_3 + \frac{m-1}{c_2^*} & -m_6 & m_6 \end{bmatrix},$$

where $m_3 = \frac{m(m-1)}{c_2^*c_3^*}$, $m_6 = \frac{(m-1)b_3^*b_4^*}{c_2^*c_3^*c_5^*}$. In particular:

$$(8) \quad |X| = \sum_{i=0}^6 m_i = (m+1)(1+m_3+m_6).$$

It should be remarked that the validity of (7) can be checked by the formula $B_1^*Q^\top = Q^\top \text{diag}(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_6)$, where B_1^* is the tridiagonal matrix defined by $(B_1^*)_{ij} = q_{1i}^j$ (cf. [3, p. 91]).

5. DISCUSSIONS: $p_{16}^1 + 1$

The section is devoted to the computation of $p_{16}^1 + 1$. It should be remarked that there is a formula which expresses the p_{ij}^h as rational functions of the Q_{ij} (see [3, p. 65]). However, the required calculation turns out to be extremely involved, so that we provide a more conceptual (computer-free) approach looking at the quotient scheme $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{\mathcal{R}})$.⁴

We have $\mathcal{J} = \{0, 3, 6\}$ with the notation of Lemma 1, so that $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{\mathcal{R}})$ has two classes. Since $E_0 + E_3 + E_6 = \frac{1}{m+1}(A_0 + A_6)$ by (7) and (8), we find $\mathcal{I} = \{0, 6\}$ and

$$r = k_6 + 1 = m + 1.$$

Note also that E_0, E_3, E_6 are linear combinations of $A_0 + A_6, A_1 + A_2 + A_3, A_4 + A_5$. Hence we may write

$$A_1 + A_2 + A_3 = J_r \otimes \tilde{A}, \quad A_4 + A_5 = J_r \otimes \tilde{A}',$$

where $\tilde{A}, \tilde{A}'$ are the nontrivial adjacency matrices of $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{\mathcal{R}})$. Let k be the valency of the graph corresponding to $\tilde{A}$. Then

Lemma 5. *The following hold:*

- (i) $k_1 + k_2 + k_3 = k(m+1)$.
- (ii) $k_1x_1 + k_2x_2 + k_3x_3 = 0$.
- (iii) $k_1x_1^2 + k_2x_2^2 + k_3x_3^2 = km(m+1)$.

⁴We did, however, double-check the formula (9) below using a software package MAGMA (<http://magma.maths.usyd.edu.au/magma/>).

Proof. (i) is the (constant) row sum of $A_1 + A_2 + A_3 = J_r \otimes \tilde{A}$. For (ii), observe

$$0 = (PQ)_{01} = (k_1x_1 + k_2x_2 + k_3x_3) + (k_4x_4 + k_5x_5),$$

$$0 = c_2^*c_3^*(PQ)_{05} = -b_4^*c_3^*(k_1x_1 + k_2x_2 + k_3x_3) + b_3^*c_2^*(k_4x_4 + k_5x_5).$$

Since $b_3^*c_2^* + b_4^*c_3^* > 0$ we obtain (ii), as well as $k_4x_4 + k_5x_5 = 0$; the latter, together with $(PQ)_{02} = 0$ and (i) above, implies (iii). $\square$

Solving (i)–(iii) above for k_1, k_2, k_3 we routinely obtain, in particular,

$$k_1 = \frac{k(m+1)(x_2x_3+m)}{(x_1-x_2)(x_1-x_3)}.$$

Note that A_1 has constant row sum $k_1 = k(p_{16}^1 + 1)$, from which it follows that

$$(9) \quad p_{16}^1 + 1 = \frac{(m+1)(x_2x_3+m)}{(x_1-x_2)(x_1-x_3)}.$$

Moreover, using (4), (5) we obtain

$$(10) \quad x_2x_3 + m = x_1^2 - a_2^*x_1 - c_2^*(m-1),$$

$$(11) \quad 0 < (x_1-x_2)(x_1-x_3) = 3x_1^2 - 2a_2^*x_1 - m - c_2^*(m-1).$$

6. PROOF OF THEOREM 3

We are now ready to prove Theorem 3.

Suppose first $p_{16}^1 = 0$. Then (9)–(11) would imply

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (m+1)(x_1^2 - a_2^*x_1 - c_2^*(m-1)) - (3x_1^2 - 2a_2^*x_1 - m - c_2^*(m-1)) \\ &= (x_1 - m)((m-2)x_1 - 1 + c_2^*(m-1)). \end{aligned}$$

However, since

$$\frac{1 - c_2^*(m-1)}{m-2} < \frac{1}{m-2} < m-1,$$

this contradicts (6). Hence $p_{16}^1 \geq 1$.

Fix a scalar α satisfying

$$\frac{m(m+1)}{m^2+1} < \alpha < \min \left\{ \frac{m+1}{3}, 2 \right\}.$$

Since $p_{16}^1 + 1 \geq 2 > \alpha$, by (9)–(11) we find

$$(m+1)(x_1^2 - a_2^*x_1 - c_2^*(m-1)) \geq \alpha(3x_1^2 - 2a_2^*x_1 - m - c_2^*(m-1)),$$

or equivalently,

$$(12) \quad (m+1-3\alpha)x_1^2 - (m+1-2\alpha)a_2^*x_1 - (m+1-\alpha)c_2^*(m-1) + m\alpha \geq 0.$$

On the other hand, since $ma_2^* - a_3^*c_3^* \geq 0$ it follows from (3) that

$$x_1^3 - a_2^*x_1^2 - (m+c_2^*(m-1))x_1 \leq 0.$$

Multiplying both sides by $-\frac{m+1-3\alpha}{x_1} < 0$ we find

$$(13) \quad -(m+1-3\alpha)(x_1^2 - a_2^*x_1 - m - c_2^*(m-1)) \geq 0.$$

Combining (12), (13) we find

$$\alpha a_2^*(m-1) \leq \alpha a_2^*x_1 \leq m(m+1) - 2\alpha c_2^*(m-1) - 2m\alpha,$$

from which it follows that

$$0 < \alpha c_2^*(m-1) \leq m(m+1) - \alpha(m^2+1).$$

However, since the right-hand side above is negative, this is absurd. The proof is complete.

Acknowledgments. RT would like to thank Akihiro Munemasa for discussions and encouragement. HT is supported by the JSPS Excellent Young Researchers Overseas Visit Program.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Bang, A. Dubickas, J. H. Koolen and V. Moulton, There are only finitely many distance-regular graphs of fixed valency greater than two, preprint; arXiv:0909.5253.
- [2] E. Bannai and E. Bannai, A survey on spherical designs and algebraic combinatorics on spheres, *European J. Combin.* 30 (2009) 1392–1425.
- [3] E. Bannai and T. Ito, *Algebraic combinatorics I: Association schemes*, Benjamin/Cummings, Menlo Park, CA, 1984.
- [4] A. E. Brouwer, A. M. Cohen and A. Neumaier, *Distance-regular graphs*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1989.
- [5] D. R. Cerzo and H. Suzuki, Non-existence of imprimitive Q -polynomial schemes of exceptional type with $d = 4$, *European J. Combin.* 30 (2009) 674–681.
- [6] E. R. van Dam, W. J. Martin and M. E. Muzychuk, Uniformity in association schemes and coherent configurations: cometric Q -antipodal schemes and linked systems, preprint; arXiv:1001.4928.
- [7] W. J. Martin and H. Tanaka, Commutative association schemes, *European J. Combin.* 30 (2009) 1497–1525; arXiv:0811.2475.
- [8] W. J. Martin and J. S. Williford, There are finitely many Q -polynomial association schemes with given first multiplicity at least three, *European J. Combin.* 30 (2009) 698–704.
- [9] H. Suzuki, Imprimitive Q -polynomial association schemes, *J. Algebraic Combin.* 7 (1998) 165–180.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN, 480 LINCOLN DRIVE, MADISON, WI 53706, U.S.A.

Current address: Graduate School of Information Sciences, Tohoku University, 6-3-09 Aramaki-Aza-Aoba, Aoba-ku, Sendai 980-8579, Japan

E-mail address: `htanaka@math.is.tohoku.ac.jp`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN, 480 LINCOLN DRIVE, MADISON, WI 53706, U.S.A.

E-mail address: `rtanaka@math.wisc.edu`